



Olympia School District

1113 Legion Way SE • Olympia, WA 98501 • <http://osd.wednet.edu>

Board of Directors

Mark Campeau
Allen Miller
Justin Montermini
Eileen Thomson
Frank Wilson
Sofia Kane,
Student Representative

Dominic G. Cvitanich, Superintendent

Jennifer Priddy, Assistant Superintendent
Fiscal & Operations
(360) 596-6129 ~ (360) 596-6121 fax
jpriddy@osd.wednet.edu

September 30, 2013

Devin Proctor, Rules and Policy Coordinator
Department of Enterprise Services
Contracts and Legal Services Division
PO Box 41410
Olympia, WA 98504-1410
Email to rules@des.wa.gov



RE: Comments – CR 102 DES Proposed Rule Making

Mr. Proctor,

I am writing on behalf of the Olympia School District and the 39 additional districts of the Schools Insurance Association of Washington. We are concerned with the adoption of the rules as proposed. We feel that rules are either ^{un}warranted at this time or require additional definition in order to be workable. Please see our specific concerns below.

Proposed Change:

WAC 200-100-03001 Standards for solvency-Actuarially determined liabilities, program funding and liquidity requirements.

(1) All joint self insurance programs shall obtain an annual actuarial review as of fiscal year end which provides written estimates of the liability for unpaid claims measured at the expected level and the seventy, eighty, and ninety percent confidence level.

Why this change is unwarranted:

The rules currently governing self-insurance pools require that the programs fund to the 70th percentile. We believe that the current requirement is sufficient to maintain financially solvent pools. The 70th percentile requirement was created barely two years ago and there has not been sufficient time to determine that a more stringent requirement is necessary.

WAC 200-100-03001 Standards for solvency-Actuarially determined liabilities, program funding and liquidity requirements.

Joint self-insurance programs operating under an approved plan and making satisfactory progress according to the terms of the plan shall remain under supervisory watch by the state risk manager until the terms of the approved plan have been met. Programs under supervisory watch but not making satisfactory progress may be subject to the following requirements:

- (a) Increase in frequency of examinations, the cost of which shall be the responsibility of the program;
- (b) Submission of quarterly reports;
- (c) On-site monitoring by the state risk manager; or
- (d) Service of a cease and desist order upon the program.

Why this change is unwarranted:

This requirement is too vague as to the definition of satisfactory progress. We believe that this rule allows too much discretion to the State Risk Manager including the use of a cease and desist order. We believe that this verbiage would allow unilateral power to the regulator without providing recourse mediation or resolution by some third party.

WAC 200-100-037 Standards for management and operations-Financial plans.

(d) The submission of audited financial statements to the state risk manager within eight months of the program's fiscal year end which meet the requirements of the state auditor and state risk manager as described in this chapter.

Why this change is unwarranted:

Many pools have experienced difficulties with required audits being completed within the current one-year requirement. We are concerned that this change will mean that insurance pools will be considered out of compliance with the State Regulator if the Auditor's office is unable to complete the required audit within the regulatory timeline. Additionally, we are concerned that this required change in audited financial statements will remain irrelevant as long as some insurance pools have a three year notice requirement. If the Regulator's goal is transparency for members, then reduce the notice requirement to only one year.

These rule changes are not consistent with legislation passed years ago that created the ability for governments and nonprofits to self-insure. That legislation allowed for a prudent, financially responsible process to provide less expensive options to entities that serve the public.

We respectfully request the rules remain as written and be re-visited by the committee to ensure that all options and rule amendments that are supported by committee members be considered.

Sincerely,

